## PROJECT 1291 CLIENT REPORT APPROVAL FORM

I have read: The Addendum to the Mid-Year Technical Report for FY 1988, Objective E. Task 4, and approve the document for reproduction and distribution.

Document approved as is. Approved with noted corrections. DISApproved There are a number of serious concerns I have regarding this COMMENTS: document and the actifal conduct of this experiment which, is nimany condern Blow-up in FYS& to to vaguely defined tasks which, in my APPROVED: Peter J. Monelis, COTR Improving single but hitting rates in binary tasks since @ are not being collected (or, of FY86 data I is guestionable whether 50 trials is aufficien I not have that time to sure on adequate, hee to FY86 work in Oby Elash 2 is

Mrs F 9000,10

Approved For Release 2001/03/07: CIA-RDP96-00788R001500170016-2

2. p3, Section A, (1) + (2) — ore the techniques referred to in (1) the same as those in (2) or are they external vs internal. Oleas clarify and specify. 3. p. 3, Bection A. Mitheral - multiple objectives are confusing one there is no you one could design an experiment of this point regarding the two secondary tobuctives since @ the Ochnique and not defined and & formal bape line data has not been h gathered. These secondary objectives should have been theme Sliminated or more scientifically addressed. 4. The SOC should have reviewed the "protocol" for this "experimen 4, Section C, 1st bullet - implies a binary task is the usual protocol. Is this acquirate since much most of the data being collected appears to be involve multiple to choices. 6. p4, Section C, last para - alludes to "formal tests". Asingle bit hitting rates in a binary task fire to show imphovemen they have to demonstrate improvement compared of like base line data 4/or the influde sufficient trials over time to demonstrate this. The clarification of this is critical. 7. p5, Section D - indicates a produce of \( \le .033 \), while the FY87 Mid Year tech Report states the produce for the same data is 4. \$24. Also, this paragraph indicates that the FY86 data was "sensitive to a pufative dedine effect" what does this phrase mean and where is the data to support ? 8. p5, Stetion E, 2nd para - states "SRI and sponsor's COTR will determine structure of formal test" - This, "arrange-Approved For Release 200/103197 1 14-RDR96-907888001500379016-200 you in this document presented to me in mid-lugust 1988.

COMMENT 8. (contid) I will be happy to input, but see my comment # 4 above. Also, it priary well prove to be too late to do a formal test (see my Introductory comments and concerns). 9. p7, Section F, and Sentence. Unclear . Techaps This should read: "The task was to determine! whether ... ETC" 10. p7, Section F, last Sentence - When you say the I" remaining 63 trials" are you referring to 63 of the 81 original binary trists? If the first 18 Twent thrown out, then it would seem that your total binary trials are just 63 (ispecially gince the first 18 ded not fit / your "usual protocol "regarding tryfe of targets) PNS I Ist para, 1st Sentence - What are the "specific and different integral strategies" mentioned. This is critical Information 4, if oply Ingo knows the gensues (ossuming he knows) Tits not Imeaningful to us. p8, 1st para, last sentence - what ape the "other two Phypotheses "you are referring to; where are they 13, Thave also attacked the comments made also settleated this work and indicate his wich is secured, flance